(S)

(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No.3627 of 2007

Petitioners

1. Dharmendra Kumar Agrawal Age about 36 year, Astt. Teacher S/o. Late shir Shriram Agrawal govt. Middle School Deoribhat, [Amalipara Khairagarh] Block - Khairagarhm, Distt. - Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
2. Sundar Lal Dewangan Age about 37 year, Astt. Teacher S/o Late Shri Bhuwan Lal Dewangan govt. Primary School Singdai Block - Rajnandgaon, Distt.- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
3. Smt. Tumeshwari sinha Age about 37 year, Astt. Teacher, W/o Shri Jeewan Lal sinha govt. Girls Primary School Mohadr. [Kanharpuri] Block - Rajnandgaon, Distt. - Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department & Education Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)
2. Distt. Education Officer, Rajnandgaon Distt. - Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer Rajnandgaon / Khairagarh District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench: Hon'ble Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present : Shri Somkant Verma, counsel for the petitioners.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th June, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the fact and question of law

involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}**, which has been disposed of vide order dated 03.04.2006. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not controvert the said submission.

2. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Indu